PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

REC'D **0 7 NOV 2005**WIPO PCT

(PCT Artcle 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference P03P8047/PCT | FOR FURTHER ACTION SeeNotif | FOR FURTHER ACTION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternationalPreliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| International application No. | International filing date(day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) | | |
| PCT/KR2003/002436 | 12 NOVEMBER 2003 (12.11.200 | 3) 13 JUNE 2003 (13.06.2003) | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC IPC H01Q 1/24 | C) or national classification and IPC | | | |
| ACE TECHNOLOGY et al | | | | |
| and is transmitted to the application. This REPORT consists of a total transmitted to the application. This report is also accommended and are the basis | ant according to Article 36. al of3sheets, including this companied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the des | cription, claims and/or drawings which have been tifications made before this Authority (see Rule | | |
| These annexes consist of a tot | al ofsheets. | | | |
| I Basis of the report Priority III Non-establishme IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned staten citations and exp VI Certain document | ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventivention invention and a regard to noveltolanations supporting such statement | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | Date of comp | letion of this report | | |
| 05 JANUARY 200 | 05 (05.01.2005) | CTOBER 2005 (05.10.2005) | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IP Korean Intellectual Pro 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo- Republic of Korea | perty Office | fficer Ki Eock | | |
| Feesimile No. 82-42-472-7140 | Telephone N | o. 82-42-481-8128 | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/KR2003/002436 I. Basis of the report 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:* the international application as originally filed the description: , as originally filed pages , filed with the demand pages , filed with the letter of pages the claims: , as originally filed pages , as amended (together with any statment) under Article 19 pages , filed with the demand pages _____, filed with the letter of pages the drawings: , as originally filed pages . , filed with the demand pages pages 2/9,2/10 , filed with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description: , as originally filed pages . , filed with the demand pages filed with the letter of pages , 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language English the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/ or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disc losure in the international applicationas as filed has been furinshed. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets 5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box(Rule 70.2(c)).** Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed." and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

International application No. PCT/KR2003/002436

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

| 1. Statement | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|-----|
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-14 | YES |
| | Claims | None | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 1-14 | YES |
| | Claims | None | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-14 | YES |
| | Claims | None | NO |

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The following documents mainly referred to these preliminary examination report:

D1: KR 2002-0022490 A (27 Mar 2002)

D2: JP 11136020 A (21 May 1999)

1. Novelty and Inventive step

The present invention relates to a built-in antenna having center feeding structure for wireless terminal. Characteristics of claim 1~2 of this invention is that the feeding means is located on the point of ranging from a midpoint of the antenna.

D1 describes a built-in signal band antenna implementing apparatus of mobile communication terminal. Figure 10 of D1 shows that the feeding line(or impedance matching circuit 908) of meander line antenna(900) is centered on the mobile communication terminal. Only the technical problem of both inventions is different.

D2 is related to a built-in antenna for portable radio equipment. Figure 8~14 of D2 shows that input and output line is in the middle of loop antenna(2). But the purpose of D2 is different from present invention.

Therefore Both D1 and D2 have similar figure but are different in the technical problem and the purpose of invention. So all claims of this invention are considered to have novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability.

2. Industrial applicability

Claims 1-14 meet the criteria of PCT Article 33(4) because it can be used in mobile communication industry.